

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE 13 Sept 85
TO: DDA		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:		
<p>FYI.</p> <p>Exo-ODA <u>EM 16/9</u></p> <p>AOOA <u>16 SEP 1985</u></p> <p>Held for DDA <u>[Signature]</u></p> <p>DDA/Reg (log & file)</p>		
FROM: D/OIT		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

SECRET

DD/A Registry
85-3465OIT #0736-85
13 September 1985MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Personnel
Director of SecurityDD/A REGISTRY
FILE: 20-1

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

FROM: [REDACTED]

Director of Information Technology

1. Some thirty years ago my wife and I EODed with the Agency after we both were cleared. At the time it seemed to be important that we both were clearable. A lot of water has gone over the dam since 1954, carrying with it EEO, women's rights, debates over life style issues, etc. This observation is by way of background.

2. This week I met a new employee [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] During my conversation with her, I asked how she happened to apply for work with CIA. She responded that her husband was an applicant in Florida and when he received the forms, she had gotten a set, too, and sent them in. And where is your husband employed now, I asked. He has taken the polygraph twice, she responded, and doesn't know if he will be cleared, so he is working at CSC. [REDACTED] who would come aboard as a GS-08 if hired.

3. Laying aside what may happen in a marriage when one partner is cleared by CIA and the other is not, I believe the situation described above is of general security concern. For example, if it was determined that the husband was working for the Cubans or an active member of the Communist Party, would we want the wife working for the Agency? I think not. If the husband is into drugs or a former thief or has some like problem, the wife might be approved to work for the Agency, I'd speculate. But I believe, as an organization, we should have looked at the files of the two individuals side by side when we decided to employ her. One can speculate further, but I submit that the screening and processing systems in OP and OS, and perhaps OMS as well, should play off of each other in such a way that when we have couples being processed for employment at the same time, they are tracked together.

[REDACTED]
William F. Donnelly

SECRET